l		
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General	
2	of the State of California KAREN B. CHAPPELLE	•
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ALVARO MEJIA, State Bar No. 216956	
4	Deputy Attorney General	
ĺ	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
5	Telephone: (213) 897-0083 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	
6	Attorneys for Complainant	
7	Attorneys for Complaniant	
8	BEFORE T	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CON FOR THE BUREAU OF AUT	
10	STATE OF CAL	
ŀ		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 79/08-53
12	BROTHERS SMOG TEST CENTER KYU HWANG CHAE, OWNER	ACCUSATION
13	8101 S. Figueroa Street	ACCUSATION
14	Los Angeles, CA 90003-2722	SMOG CHECK
15	Automotive Repair Dealer Reg. No. AB 182534 Smog Check, Test Only, Station License	
16	No. TB 182534,	
	and	
17	KYU HWANG CHAE	
18	523 Clement Drive Glendale, CA 91202	
19	·	
20	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 113872	
21	Respondents.	
22	^	
]	Commission and all a service	
23	Complainant alleges:	
24	PARTIE	<u>S</u>
25	1. Sherry Mehl ("Complainant")	brings this Accusation solely in her official
26	capacity as the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive R	epair ("Bureau"), Department of Consumer
27	Affairs.	
28	· ///	
	II.	

Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. AB 182534

2. In or about 1995, the Director of Consumer Affairs ("Director") issued Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number AB 182534 (hereinafter "registration") to Kyu Hwang Chae ("Respondent"), owner of Brothers Auto Repair. On February 9, 1999, Respondent's business name was changed to Brothers Smog Test Center. Respondent's registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on February 28, 2008, unless renewed.

Smog Check, Test Only, Station License No. TB 182534

3. On or about September 25, 1998, the Director issued Smog Check, Test Only, Station License Number TB 182534 (hereinafter "smog check station license") to Respondent. Respondent's smog check station license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on February 28, 2008, unless renewed.

Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 113872

4. In or about 1998, the Director issued Advanced Emission Specialist

Technician License Number EA 113872 (hereinafter "technician license") to Respondent.

Respondent's technician license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on January 31, 2010, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 5. Business and Professions Code ("Bus. & Prof. Code") section 9884.7 provides that the Director may invalidate an automotive repair dealer registration.
- 6. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.13 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a valid registration shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision invalidating a registration temporarily or permanently.
- 7. Health and Safety Code ("Health & Saf. Code") section 44002 provides, in pertinent part, that the Director has all the powers and authority granted under the Automotive Repair Act for enforcing the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

///

8. Health & Saf. Code section 44072.6 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration or suspension of a license by operation of law, or by order or decision of the Director of Consumer Affairs, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action. STATUTORY PROVISIONS 9. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7 states, in pertinent part: 6 (a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide error, may refuse to validate, or may invalidate temporarily or permanently, the registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive 10 repair dealer. (1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any statement written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or 12 which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading. 13 14 (4) Any other conduct which constitutes fraud. 16 (6) Failure in any material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter or regulations adopted pursuant to it. 17 18 19 (c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b), the director may refuse to validate, or may invalidate temporarily or permanently, the registration 20 for all places of business operated in this state by an automotive repair dealer upon a finding that the automotive repair dealer has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of this chapter, or regulations adopted pursuant to it. 22 10. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.8 states, in pertinent part: 24 All work done by an automotive repair dealer, including all warranty work, shall be recorded on an invoice and shall describe all service work done and parts supplied. Service work and parts shall be listed separately on the invoice, which shall also state separately the subtotal prices for service work and for parts, not including sales tax, and shall state separately the sales tax, if any, applicable to each . . .

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

15

21

23

25

26

27

28

///

///

///

later informed the operator that the vehicle needed carburetor work and a new catalytic converter and that the total cost for the repairs and smog inspection would be \$585.

- vehicle. Ted informed the operator that the vehicle had to be taken to a second smog station to be certified, that the cost of this inspection was \$50, and that the \$50 had been added to the \$585 estimate. The operator paid Chungs a total of \$635.41 and received copies of various documents, including a vehicle inspection report ("VIR") dated January 13, 2006, signed by Respondent. That same day, electronic smog Certificate of Compliance Number GA723169C was issued for the vehicle.
- 17. On January 17, 2006, a Bureau representative inspected and performed a smog test on the vehicle. The representative found, among other things, that the carburetor was damaged and had not been properly adjusted, that the carburetor TPS was still misadjusted, and that the exhaust tailpipe emissions were still above the "gross polluter" limits.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Untrue or Misleading Statements)

& Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized statements which he knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows: Respondent certified under penalty of perjury on the VIR that the Bureau's 1987 Buick Regal had passed inspection and was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, the carburetor was damaged and had not been properly adjusted, the carburetor TPS was still misadjusted, and the exhaust tailpipe emissions were still above the "gross polluter" limits. As such, the vehicle would not pass the inspection required by Health & Saf. Code section 44012.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Fraud)

19. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that Respondent committed an act which

	A	
1	constitutes fraud, as follows: Respondent issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for	
2	the Bureau's 1987 Buick Regal without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission	
3	control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of	
4	California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.	
5	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
6	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)	
7	20. Respondent's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary action	
8	pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that Respondent failed to	
9	comply with the following sections of that Code:	
10	a. <u>Section 44012</u> : Respondent failed to perform the emission control tests	
11	on the Bureau's 1987 Buick Regal in accordance with procedures	
12	prescribed by the department.	
13	b. <u>Section 44015</u> : Respondent issued an electronic smog certificate of	
14	compliance for the Bureau's 1987 Buick Regal without properly testing	
15	and inspecting the vehicle to determine if it was in compliance with Health	
16	& Saf. Code section 44012.	
17	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
18	(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant	
19	to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)	
20	21. Respondent's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary action	
21	pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that Respondent failed to	
22	comply with the provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:	
23	a. Section 3340.24, subdivision (c): Respondent falsely or fraudulently	
24	issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1987	
25	Buick Regal.	
26	b. <u>Section 3340.35, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent issued an electronic smog	
27	certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1987 Buick Regal even though	

28 ///

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

- 24. Respondent's technician license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that Respondent failed to comply with provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:
 - a. <u>Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)</u>: Respondent falsely or fraudulently issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1987 Buick Regal.
 - b. <u>Section 3340.30, subdivision (a)</u>: Respondent failed to inspect and test the Bureau's 1987 Buick Regal in accordance with Health & Saf.
 Code sections 44012 and 44035, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42.
 - c. 3340.41, subdivision (c): Respondent knowingly entered into the emissions inspection system false information about the Bureau's 1987 Buick Regal.
 - d. <u>Section 3340.42</u>: Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests on the Bureau's 1987 Buick Regal in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

25. Respondent's technician license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that Respondent committed a dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful act whereby another is injured by issuing an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1987 Buick Regal without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

UNDERCOVER OPERATION #2: 1993 MAZDA PROTEGE

- 26. On May 17, 2006, a Bureau undercover operator ("operator") took the Bureau's 1993 Mazda Protege to Respondent's facility and requested a smog inspection. The water thermosensor wire had been disconnected at the electronic control module on the Bureau-documented vehicle, causing the exhaust tailpipe emissions to exceed the gross polluter limits. The operator signed and received a copy of a work order for the inspection. After the inspection was completed, the operator was told that the vehicle had failed the test and was advised to take it to Chungs to have the cause of the smog inspection failure diagnosed. The operator was not given an invoice or a VIR at that time.
- 27. At approximately 1045 hours that same day, the operator took the vehicle to Chungs and requested a diagnosis of the smog inspection failure. The operator was later told that the vehicle was in need of electrical repairs at an estimated cost of \$450. The operator authorized the work.
- 28. On May 18, 2006, the operator returned to Chungs to retrieve the vehicle, paid \$480 for the repairs, and received copies of various documents, including an invoice from Brothers Smog Test Center and two VIR's signed by Respondent, one dated May 17, 2006, and the other dated May 18, 2006.
- 29. Later that same day, a Bureau representative inspected the vehicle and reviewed the VIR's. The representative found that the VIR dated May 17, 2006, indicated that the vehicle failed the ignition timing test when, in fact, the ignition timing was adjusted to manufacturer specifications at the time the vehicle was taken to Respondent's facility.

NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Untrue or Misleading Statements)

30. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized statements which he knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows: Respondent represented on the VIR dated May 17, 2007, that the Bureau's 1993 Mazda Protege failed the functional ignition timing test at "B22". In fact, the

1	ignition timing on the vehicle was 10 degrees BTDC (before top dead center) or "B10" and was	
2	within manufacturer's specifications at the time the vehicle was taken to Respondent's facility.	
3	TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
4	(Failure to Provide an Invoice)	
5	31. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus.	
6	& Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that Respondent failed to comply with section	
7	9884.8 of that Code, as follows: On or about May 17, 2006, Respondent failed to provide the	
8	operator with an invoice listing all service work performed and/or parts supplied on the Bureau's	
9	1993 Mazda Protege following the smog inspection on the vehicle.	
10	ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
11	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)	
12	32. Respondent's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary action	
13	pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that Respondent failed to	
14	comply with the following sections of that Code:	
15	a. <u>Section 44012</u> : On or about May 17, 2006, Respondent failed to perform	
16	the emission control tests on the Bureau's 1993 Mazda Protege in	
17	accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.	
18	b. Section 44014.5, subdivision (c): On or about May 17, 2006, Respondent	
19	or his employee(s) referred the operator to a particular provider of vehicle	
20	repair services, i.e., Chungs.	
21	TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
22	(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant	
23	to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)	
24	33. Respondent's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary action	
25	pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that Respondent failed to	
26	comply with the provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:	
27	a. Section 3340.41, subdivision (a): On or about May 17, 2006, Respondent	

failed to give a copy of the VIR to the operator.

1	b. 3340.41, subdivision (c): On or about May 17, 2006, Respondent
2	knowingly entered into the emissions inspection system false information
3	about the Bureau's 1993 Mazda Protege.
4	c. <u>Section 3340.42</u> : On or about May 17, 2006, Respondent failed to
5	conduct the required smog tests on the Bureau's 1993 Mazda Protege in
6	accordance with the Bureau's specifications.
7	THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
8	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
9	34. Respondent's technician license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
10	to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that Respondent failed to comply with
11	provisions of that Code as follows:
12	a. <u>Section 44012</u> : On or about May 17, 2006, Respondent failed to perform
13	the emission control tests on the Bureau's 1993 Mazda Protege in
14	accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
15	b. <u>Section 44059</u> : Respondent willfully made false entries on the VIR
16	dated May 17, 2006, as set forth in paragraph 30 above.
17	FOURTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
18	(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
19	to the Motor venicle inspection Frogram)
20	35. Respondent's technician license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
21	to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that Respondent failed to comply with
22	provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:
23	a. Section 3340.30, subdivision (a): On or about May 17, 2006, Respondent
24	failed to inspect and test the Bureau's 1993 Mazda Protege in accordance
25	with Health & Saf. Code sections 44012 and 44035, and California Code
26	of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42.
27	///
)	1

- b. <u>3340.41, subdivision (c)</u>: On or about May 17, 2006, Respondent knowingly entered into the emissions inspection system false information about the Bureau's 1993 Mazda Protege.
- c. <u>Section 3340.42</u>: On or about May 17, 2006, Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests on the Bureau's 1993 Mazda Protege in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

UNDERCOVER OPERATION #3: 1986 JEEP CHEROKEE

- 36. On December 5, 2006, a Bureau undercover operator ("operator") took the Bureau's 1986 Jeep Cherokee to Respondent's facility and requested a smog inspection. A defective coolant temperature sensor had been installed in the Bureau-documented vehicle. The operator signed and received a copy of a work order for the inspection. After the inspection was completed, the operator was told that the vehicle had failed the test. The operator paid the facility \$42 and was given an invoice and a VIR signed by Respondent. The VIR indicated that the vehicle failed the inspection as a gross polluter.
- 37. At approximately 1135 hours that same day, the operator took the vehicle to Chungs and requested a diagnosis of the smog inspection failure. After the diagnosis was performed on the vehicle, Chung's employee, "Ted", told the operator that the engine needed major adjustments along with vacuum and electrical repairs at an estimated cost of \$350. The operator authorized the work.
- 38. On December 6, 2006, the operator returned to Chungs to retrieve the vehicle. Ted gave the operator an invoice totaling \$360.82 and told him that the vehicle had passed the smog inspection and that he was charging the operator an additional \$10 for the smog certificate. The operator paid Ted \$360 in cash and received copies of various documents, including a VIR dated December 5, 2006, with a time of 1516 hours, signed by Respondent. That same day, electronic smog Certificate of Compliance Number VD211924C was issued for the vehicle.
- 39. On December 7, 2006, a Bureau representative inspected and performed a smog test on the vehicle. The representative found that the vehicle failed the functional ignition

timing test because the ignition timing was not adjusted properly and was not within manufacturer's specifications¹. Further, the defective coolant temperature sensor was still in place on the vehicle, causing excessive exhaust tailpipe emissions.

FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Untrue or Misleading Statements)

- 40. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized statements which he knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows:
- a. Respondent certified under penalty of perjury on the VIR dated December 5, 2006, with a time of 1516 hours, that the Bureau's 1986 Jeep Cherokee had passed inspection and was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, the ignition timing was not adjusted properly and was not within manufacturer's specifications. Further, the coolant temperature sensor was defective, causing excessive exhaust tailpipe emissions. As such, the vehicle would not pass the inspection required by Health & Saf. Code section 44012.
- b. Respondent certified under penalty of perjury on the VIR dated December 5, 2006, with a time of 1516 hours, that the ignition timing on the Bureau's 1986 Jeep Cherokee was "B11". In fact, the ignition timing was 5 degrees before top dead center or "B5" at the time the Bureau representative inspected the vehicle on December 7, 2006.

SIXTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Fraud)

41. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that Respondent committed an act which constitutes fraud, as follows: Respondent issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1986 Jeep Cherokee without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission

^{1.} The ignition timing was 10 degrees before top dead center and was within manufacturer's specifications at the time the vehicle was taken to Respondent's facility.

control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

SEVENTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

- 42. Respondent's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that Respondent failed to comply with the following sections of that Code:
 - a. <u>Section 44012</u>: Respondent failed to perform the emission control tests on the Bureau's 1986 Jeep Cherokee in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
 - b. <u>Section 44015</u>: Respondent issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1986 Jeep Cherokee without properly testing and inspecting the vehicle to determine if it was in compliance with Health & Saf. Code section 44012.

EIGHTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

- 43. Respondent's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that Respondent failed to comply with the provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:
 - a. <u>Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)</u>: Respondent falsely or fraudulently issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1986
 Jeep Cherokee.
 - b. <u>Section 3340.35, subdivision (c)</u>: Respondent issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1986 Jeep Cherokee even though the vehicle had not been inspected in accordance with Health & Saf. Code section 3340.42.

1	c. <u>3340.41, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent knowingly entered into the
2	emissions inspection system false information about the Bureau's 1986
3	Jeep Cherokee.
4	d. Section 3340.42: Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests o
5	the Bureau's 1986 Jeep Cherokee in accordance with the Bureau's
6	specifications.
7	NINETEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
8	(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)
9	44. Respondent's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary action
10	pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that Respondent committed
11	dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful act whereby another is injured by issuing an electronic smog
12	certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1986 Jeep Cherokee without performing a bona fide
13	inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the
14	People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection
15	Program.
16	TWENTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
17	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
18	45. Respondent's technician license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
19	to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that Respondent failed to comply with
20	provisions of that Code as follows:
21	a. <u>Section 44012</u> : Respondent failed to perform the emission control tests
22	on the Bureau's 1986 Jeep Cherokee in accordance with procedures
23	prescribed by the department.
24	b. <u>Section 44059</u> : Respondent willfully made false entries on the VIR with
25	time of 1516 hours, as set forth in paragraph 40 above.
26	///
27	
28	

1,

TWENTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

- 46. Respondent's technician license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that Respondent failed to comply with provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:
 - a. <u>Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)</u>: Respondent falsely or fraudulently issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1986 Jeep Cherokee.
 - b. <u>Section 3340.30, subdivision (a)</u>: Respondent failed to inspect and test the Bureau's 1986 Jeep Cherokee in accordance with Health & Saf.
 Code sections 44012 and 44035, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42.
 - c. <u>3340.41, subdivision (c)</u>: Respondent knowingly entered into the emissions inspection system false information about the Bureau's 1986
 Jeep Cherokee.
 - d. <u>Section 3340.42</u>: Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests on the Bureau's 1986 Jeep Cherokee in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

TWENTY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

47. Respondent's technician license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that Respondent committed a dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful act whereby another is injured by issuing an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1986 Jeep Cherokee without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

OTHER MATTERS

- 48. Pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (c), the Director may refuse to validate, or may invalidate temporarily or permanently, the registrations for all places of business operated in this state by Respondent Kyu Hwang Chae, owner of Brothers Smog Test Center, upon a finding that said Respondent has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of the laws and regulations pertaining to an automotive repair dealer.
- 49. Pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.8, if Smog Check, Test Only, Station License Number TB 182534, issued to Respondent Kyu Hwang Chae, owner of Brothers Smog Test Center, is revoked or suspended, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of said licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the Director.
- 50. Pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.8, if Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License Number EA 113872, issued to Kyu Hwang Chae, is revoked or suspended, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of said licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the Director.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Director of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:

- 1. Temporarily or permanently invalidating Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number AB 182534, issued to Kyu Hwang Chae, owner of Brothers Smog Test Center;
- 2. Temporarily or permanently invalidating any other automotive repair dealer registration issued in the name of Kyu Hwang Chae;
- 3. Revoking or suspending Smog Check, Test Only, Station License Number TB 182534, issued to Kyu Hwang Chae, owner of Brothers Smog Test Center;
- 4. Revoking or suspending Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License Number EA 113872, issued to Kyu Hwang Chae;

28 ///

1	5. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of	
2	the Health and Safety Code in the name of Kyu Hwang Chae;	
3	6. Ordering Respondent Kyu Hwang Chae, individually and as owner of	
4	Brothers Smog Test Center, to pay the Bureau of Automotive Repair the reasonable costs of the	
5	investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section	
6	125.3;	
7	7. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
.8		
9	DATED: 2-15-08	
10	Mus Mill	
11	SHERRY MEHI Chief	
12	Bureau of Automotive Repair Department of Consumer Affairs	
13	State of California	
14	Complainant	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26 27		
7.1	u	

phd; 01/04/2008